End Fed Half Wave MultiBand Antennas

Mike Mladejovsky WA7ARK Prescott Hamfest June 1, 2019

What motivated this presentation

- I got into a long running debate about EFHW on QRZ.com Antenna Forum
- It has been going on for months...
- The key issues:
 - Need for a "counterPoise"
 - Coax is the other half of the antenna
 - Effects of Current on the coax

What is an End Fed Half Wave Antenna?

- Let's call it a "EFHW"
- Works on a similar principle to a Zepp and J-Pole
- ~0.5 Wave-Length wire on lowest band (130ft on 80m, 67ft on 40m)
- Fed from one end using a transformer in a box

What is an End Fed Half Wave Antenna?

- Transformer is a 1:49 or 1:64 UnUn (not 1:4 or 1:9 used on random wire antennas)
- 50 Ohm Coax from transformer to station
- Multi-Band (works on all harmonics)
- Deploy it horizontal, sloping, inverted-L, inverted-V
- Commercial example: MyAntennas EFHW-8010-2K
- Pass it around (I want it back!)





1:49 Transformer schematic





My test set-up for the 8010

- Mostly Horizontal
- ~35ft Above Ground
- Tried Variable Coax length
- Grounded only at IC7300
- Optional external grounds

8

CM current
measurement

Actual SWR measurements

- Started with 58ft of foam RG8 (benign)
- No ground connection except at IC7300
 - On purpose to see if any RF "problems"
 - No CMC
- Measured SWR using RigExpert AA-600
- Actual Plots for 80m to 10m follow:













⁴ ^{III} EFHW8010u12.antdata - AntScope — □ ×													×								
<u>File E</u> dit <u>V</u> iew Configure Measurement <u>H</u> elp																					
<u> </u> L' 📂 H T→ & B 🗟 😂 🗹 💥 Q 123 🍄 FQ M 旨 💡																					
Range: 24.950 ± 0.100 MHz, 100 points												SV	VR								
5																					
4																					
										Fq = 24.944 MHz SWR = 1.54 Return loss = 13.48 dB Z = 75.2 + j8.6 Ohm Z = 75.7 Ohm											
3																					
Phase = 6.5 °																					
								Zpar = 76.1 + j667.1 Ohm													
2											ar = 4 ble: L	ength	⊓ (1/4)∘	= 1.9	3 m, L	.engtl	n(1/2)	= 3.9	7 m -		
1.5																					
1																					
24.850 24.950 MHz										2	25.0	50									
Points in the graph: 100+1																					



Summary of SWR measurements

- 3.5 to 3.82Mhz: IC7300's internal 1:3 tuner
- 80m band: external manual tuner (Palstar)
- 40m band: no tuner needed
- 30m band: <u>external</u> tuner
- 20m band: no tuner
- 15m band: no tuner
- 17m band: internal 1:3 tuner
- 12m band: no tuner
- 10m band: internal tuner_{a7ark}

Modeling the EFHW

- Using NEC to learn how it works
- Compare it to Center-Fed Dipole
- Show feed-point impedences
- Overlay current distributions
- Overlay SWR plots
- Overlay Patterns
- Show CM effects





SWR of EF vs CF



22

Takeaways from CF/EF simulations

- Current distribution almost identical on fundamental band
- 3.6 MHz Azimuth Patterns identical
- Tail (counterpoise) is a required part
- EF tail is tiny, only 7.7ft out of 134.6ft
- Ratio is for 2450 Ohm (1:49 transformer)
- Tail current is only 15% of peak in wire
- Not a big stretch to cut off tail

EFHW as a multi-band antenna

- EF resonates on all harmonics,
- Resonance not exact integer multiples
- Requires Compensation coil, about 6ft from transformer
- Aligns the SWR dips on harmonics
- Coil makes it "longer"
- Show simulation
- Show Patterns



wa7ark







Move the first SWR null to 75m

- The EFHW-8010 has the lowest SWR at ~3.58MHz
- Not good if your interest is 75m
- There is a new EFHW-7510-2K model
- Adds a 300pF capacitor to center of the long wire.
- How does that work?

Compensation capacitor

- 80m: current is max at center of long wire
- 40m: current is min at center of long wire
- Capacitive reactance makes antenna shorter only on 80m
- not on 40m on up
- Capacitor becomes a short on higher bands
- Position juggled with compensation coil.

Azimuth Patterns

- Identical to dipole on fundamental
- On Higher Harmonics:
 - "lobes"
 - Some Gain
 - Deep Nulls
- Might need two antennas?
- Look at what the simulator predicts:
- Observed

Coax is really three conductors

- Two are Center-to-Inside-of-Shield
 - Called Differential (TEM) Mode of coax
 - Carries power to antenna.
 - Fields completely cancel inside coax

• Third conductor is the outside of Shield

- Acts as a real wire in the near-field
- Modifies the pattern/SWR
- Follows the coax back to the shack
- Called Common Mode (CM) on coax

Vary coax length on EFHW

- 80m EFHW (coax only)
- Coax grounded
- No CMC
- Vary coax from 45ft (0.16wl) to 265ft (0.97wl)
- Watch the movie:

Now let's fix it

- Mitigation
 - Common Mode Choke (see example)
 - Ground near transformer.

What simulation shows about coax shield

- CM Current on coax shield comes from:
 - Mutual coupling between EF wire and coax, especially if coax-to-ground path becomes resonant (dominant effect
 - Transformer secondary current (small effect)
- When Standing-Wave forms on coax shield
 - Radiates and distorts pattern
 - Changes feed-point Z and SWR
 - Conducts RF < into shack
 - Conducts noise > to receiver front-end

CM on coax during testing

- I used the EFHW-8010 test set up shown
- 100W to antenna, Max wire current ~1.4A
- I used a CM current meter I built (0.6A f.s.)
- Tried w/wo CM choke, Ground at window
- Tried various coax lengths to find resonances
- Tried all bands where no tuner needed
- Highest CM sometimes outside (Standing W)

Current Transformer/Detector for measuring CM current on coax

Measured CM at rig end (circled values cause "buzz" in computer speakers

coax Len=	40.8	55.6	69.02	80.1	102.8	115.1	162.3
frequency	mA	mA	mA	mA	mA	mA	mA
3.500	116	64	240	104	(499)	65	70
3.600	79	61	116	42_	338	61	60
7.000	585)	178	240	<u>412)</u>	264	178	77
7.150	240	129	215	215	190	129	55
7.300	_141	129	(314)	129	166	129	60
14.000	573	240	190	116	45	48	0
14.100	511	240		92	43	44	0
14.200	437	190	166	79	42	41	0
14.300	363	153	116	67	40	0	0
14.350	289	<u>14</u> 1	104	67	0	0	0
21.000	215	598	227	129	0	0	0
21.100	166	536	203	116	0	0	0
21.200	141	511	166	92	0	0	0
21.300	104	412	141	67	0	0	0
21.400	42	289	116	42	0	0	0
28.300	166	141	42	0	0	0	0
28.500	104	116	18	0	0	0	0
28.700	129	166	55	0	0	0	0

Finding the Coax "monopole" resonance on 80m

- Added coax in 2ft steps
- 0.25wl resonance in coax shield at 66ft
- CM meter pinned at 100W (0.6A = f.s.)
- Had to reduce power to ~10W
- Can see the coax resonance in SWR plot:

Coax resonance shows in 80m SWR plot

45

Grounding coax shield at Entry Panel

- Shunts CM current to earth
- Eliminates it inside shack (verified)
- Changes the path length (for better/worse)
- CM might still be high between entry panel and the transformer to:
 - Distort pattern
 - Pick up noise
 - Change SWR

wa7ark

Adding Common Mode Choke

- CMC = 10 to 17t of small coax on FT240-31
- Analogy: break resonance in guy wire
- Placement (recall simulation)
 - No closer than ~0.1 lowest-band wl to Xfrmr
 - Optimum location on one band may not be optimum on others
 - Locate where coax turns corner below antenna.

wa7ark

• May not need; if CM, then experiment.

Grounding coax/transformer

- Add second earth ground to coax shield under antenna
- Low-mounted transformer (invertedV or L), use Gnd wingnut on box?
- Horizontal/Sloper EFHW fed from tower case study, have some inconsistency between simulation and measurement of actual ant.

Home-building

- Certainly do-able
- Just wire, toroids, capacitor, coax, insulator, box and hardware
- Web is full of resources, some of it bad
- Steve Ellington's videos on YouTube
- FT140-43 toroid for QRP
- Use two or three stacked FT-240-43 for 100W to QRO.

Conclusions: Advantages

- Useful antenna for multi-band operation
- Lots of bands with no-tuner or tuner built-in rig
- Fed from one end sometimes more convenient
- Simple to deploy Horiz, Sloped, V or L
- Useful for limited space, RV, SOTA, FD
- Home-brewable

Conclusions: Disadvantages

- Patterns with deep nulls on certain headings
- Common-mode can be problematic
 - RF in the shack
 - Noise coupled to coax in the shack
- I would:
 - Plan for CM Choke (added cost)
 - Plan for a ground rod

So, to summarize my take on the debate as far as it has come:

- 1. There is no such thing as an End Fed Half Wave (EFHW) antenna.
- 2. There is such a thing as an Extremely Off Center Fed (EOCF) Antenna, which is what we have been discussing.
- 3. An isolated EOCF antenna requires at least a minimal (0.05wl) counterpoise to work.
- 4. The current into the counter poise is small compared to the peak current in the active part of the antenna (~20%).
- 5. The counterpoise can be a 0.05 to 0.4 wl wire without affecting SWR hardly at all.
- 6. If a coax feeds a three-terminal auto-transformer, the coax shield can be the counterpoise, and the short wire is redundant.
- 7. The current on the coax shield can be choked, as long as the choke is no closer than 0.05wl to the transformer.
- 8. The current on the coax shield can be shunted into the earth with a ground rod.
- 9. In certain installations, you might have to do both 7 and 8 to prevent RF getting into things in the shack.